



HA B

Chuah 50-18

CONFIRMATION NO. 1042
DATE OF NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE: March 8, 2005
SERIAL NO. 09/595,347

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Applicant(s): M.C. Chuah et al.
Case: 50-18
Serial No.: 09/595,347
Filing Date: June 15, 2000
Group: 2665
Examiner: Phuongchau B. Nguyen

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature: 

Date: March 22, 2005

Title: A Mobile Point-to-Point Protocol

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

All claims of the above-identified application were allowed on March 8, 2005

Applicants herewith submit that the Examiner omitted certain meaningful language and added certain unstated language to the reasons for allowance of the present invention. Presented below is the Examiner's statement incorporating the omitted language by underline and deleting the unstated language by strikeout that the Applicants believe should have been provided with the reasons for allowance.


Regarding claims 40-44, the prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest an apparatus comprising packet equipment configured to permit an existing wireless point-to-point connection with a first packet server to be transferred to a second packet server, the packet equipment initiating the transfer in response to a hand-off notification associated with the existing point-to-point protocol connection, the packet equipment being further

configured for “establishing a tunnel in accordance with a modified layer two tunnel protocol to the second packet server to convey packets from a source which previously conveyed packets over a tunnel established between the first packet server and the ~~second packet server~~ equipment,” in combination with other limitations, as specified in the independent claim 40.

The above-underlined language is consistent with independent claim 40. Applicants respectfully request that the reasons for allowance be revised to incorporate the above-underlined language and delete the above-struckout language.

Date: March 22, 2005

Respectfully submitted,


William E. Lewis

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39,274

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-2946